



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/731,119

12/10/2003

Hiroshi Omura

03500.017765.

4661

5514

7590

03/30/2009

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO  
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA  
NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER

MILIA, MARK R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/30/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/731,119	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OMURA, HIROSHI	
	<b>Examiner</b> Mark R. Milia	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 19 and 20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 19 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/27/09 has been entered. Currently, claims 1-7 and 19-20 are pending.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 19, and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the current amendment to the claims and therefore a new ground(s) of rejection will be made.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-7 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shope et al. (US 5,047,955) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,671,066 to Aikawa et al. as cited in the IDS dated 11/26/08.

Regarding claims 1, 19, and 20, Shope discloses a data processing apparatus, method, and data processing program stored on a computer-readable storage medium, for transmitting a document formed by a plurality of logical pages to a printing device, comprising: spooling means for spooling the plurality of logical pages for each of a plurality of sets (see column 2 lines 27-28), determination means for determining drawing information from the first logical page to a logical page just previous to the one logical page retrieved by said retrieval means to be drawing information for one of the plurality of sets (see column 3 lines 1-21, reference states that microcontroller **36** and LCU **38** are electronic collation means for presenting the stored image data for printing in the proper sequence, as often as is needed to produce the desired number of collated document sets), generation means for generating a print command to be transmitted to the printing device based on the drawing information for the one set determined by said determination means (see column 2 line 64-column 3 line 8, microcontroller **34** is responsible for initiating transfers from the RIP **10** and controls communication with the LCU **38** of the marking engine), and transmission means for transmitting the print command generated by said generation means to the printing device (see column 3 lines 1-21, RIP **10** sends image data to the job image buffer, JIB **14**, which in turn sends the processed image data to the print head **30**).

Shope does not disclose expressly retrieval means for retrieving, from among the plurality of logical pages spooled in said spooling means, one logical page identical in drawing information to a first logical page and wherein said retrieval means retrieves the one logical page by discriminating a logical page corresponding to numerical factors of the plurality of logical pages.

Aikawa discloses retrieval means for retrieving, from among the plurality of logical pages spooled in said spooling means, one logical page identical in drawing information to a first logical page and wherein said retrieval means retrieves the one logical page by discriminating a logical page corresponding to numerical factors of the plurality of logical pages (see column 13 line 38-column 14 line 38, a first page is compared to a second and later pages to determine identical pages).

**KSR analysis – Applying a Known Technique to a Known Device (Method, or Product) Ready for Improvement to Yield Predictable Results**

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply the technique of comparing drawing information of logical pages of a document, as described by Aikawa with the collation system set forth in Shope. Shope discloses electronic collation means for presenting the stored image data for printing in the proper sequence, as often as is needed to produce the desired number of collated document sets (see column 3 lines 9-21). Collation is known as gathering information and placing it in order. Shope further states that internal pointers identifying the beginning and ending addresses for each image are stored (see column 3 lines 5-7). Aikawa discloses comparing a first page is second and later pages to determine

Art Unit: 2625

identical pages and thereby determine the number of sets of print data to be output and also calculates the total number of sheets needed for printing based on the page information, such as duplex, collate and n-up, and the number of copies to be output. Thus, taking all of the above teachings into consideration, it would have been obvious to utilize some sort of comparison technique of the logical pages, based on teachings provided by Aikawa and knowledge possessed by one of ordinary skill in the art, to determine where each set of the plurality of logical pages begins and ends to provide proper output of collated sets of documents.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Aikawa with Shope to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 19, and 20.

Regarding claim 2, Aikawa further discloses designation means for designating a printing mode including said designation means includes a double-sided printing mode for printing drawing information on both sides of one print sheet, and a N-up printing mode for outputting a plurality of logical pages on a face of one print sheet, wherein said retrieval means retrieves the one logical page in response to designation of the printing mode (see Fig. 5, column 4 lines 55-59, and column 7 lines 34-41).

Regarding claim 3, Shope and Aikawa do not disclose expressly wherein said retrieval means retrieves the one logical page based on data sizes of the one logical page and the first logical page.

However, Aikawa discloses comparing bits of pages to determine identical or unidentical pages (see column 13 lines 38-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to compare data size, as this is an attribute of a logical page that is part of a document, and because it is well known in the art to compare images or files using data size.

Regarding claim 4, Aikawa further discloses wherein said retrieval means retrieves the one logical page by performing a sampling process on the one logical page and the first logical page (see column 13 lines 38-50, reference states that attributes of a document are used during comparison to determine identical or unidentical pages, thereby performing a sampling process).

Regarding claim 5, Shope and Aikawa do not disclose expressly wherein said retrieval means retrieves the one logical page by comparing all spool codes for the first logical page with all spool codes for the remaining logical pages.

However, Aikawa discloses comparing attributes, such as code breaks that represent the break between print data sets, to determine identical pages (see column 6 line 45-column 7 line 29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to compare spool codes, as this is an attribute of a logical page that is part of a document, and because it is well known in the art to compare images or files using a unique feature, such as a spool code.

Regarding claim 6, Aikawa further discloses wherein if the printing device cannot store the print command for the plurality of logical pages for each set, said generation means generates a print command indicating the number of the sets and a print command for printing the drawing information for the one set determined by said

Art Unit: 2625

determination means (see column 13 line 63-column 14 line 38 and column 19 lines 37-44, reference states that sets of print data can be divided prior to be transmitted to the printer and each set would then act as one print job, thereby decreasing the amount of storage needed at the printer to execute the print job as a whole).

Further, Shope discloses compressing image data to increase the effective storage capacity of the storage means (see column 3 lines 1-8 and 22-27), thereby acknowledging the need to have enough storage capacity to be able to successfully store all the needed pages of a document to allow for a plurality of collated sets to be printed without re-rasterization.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that if a storage capacity has been reached because the plurality of logical pages that make up a document is larger than the storage capacity, that in turn only one set, which is one document, is all that can be printed as each page will be spooled and sent to the marking engine for output onto a printing medium and then the logical page is deleted from the spooler and storage capacity is freed up for another logical page, and this process will repeat until the entire set/document is printed. All of this is the alternative to having enough storage capacity to execute the invention of Shope successfully and therefore was contemplated by Shope when creating the disclosed system.

Regarding claim 7, Shope further discloses wherein if the printing device can store the print command for the plurality of logical pages for each set, said spooling means stores drawing information for each logical page, and said transmission means transmits the print command generated by said generation means until said retrieval



Art Unit: 2625

means retrieves the one logical page to the printing device, and thereafter if the document is determined to be a collate document based on the logical pages subsequent to the retrieved one logical page, said transmission means transmits a print command indicating the number of the sets (see column 2 lines 27-37 and 46-63 and column 3 lines 1-21, reference shows that print jobs are spooled one page at a time and stored in a multi-page image buffer **14** until the microcontroller **36** and the LCU **38**, which makes up the collation means, gather the stored image data and place the image data in the proper sequence for printing).

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571)272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached at (571) 272-7437. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark R. Milia  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2625

/Mark R. Milia/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625